## Legislative-Citizen Commission on Minnesota Resources

MEMO: Agenda Item \#8 and \#10

DATE: July 6, 2021

SUBJECT: Discuss and Select 2022 ENRTF proposals for further consideration and presentation

## Summary

- LCCMR received 220 proposals requesting approximately $\$ 178$ million. Following passage of SS1 SF 20, 31 proposals, which were resubmissions of either 2020 or 2021 proposals, withdrew, resulting in a revised receipt of 189 proposal requesting $\$ \mathbf{1 4 2 , 4 6 0 , 0 0 0}$
- Approximately $\mathbf{\$ 7 0}$ million is available for appropriations
- Members need to decide which proposals to select for further consideration of funding and to provide presentation to the commission
- Presentations are scheduled for July 13, 15, 20, 27-29 (6 days) via Zoom, but we can reduce the number of days (to some extent) and adjust hours to meet members' wishes
- Additional business on these days will need to include (date/amount of time TBD):
- ~7 Presentations for projects added during 2021 legislative session
- ~TDB Non-consent workplans
- ~86 Workplan approvals (2021)
- ~82 Workplan approvals (2020)


## Number of Proposals to Select for Presentations: Data Points

## Historical Averages

The 5-year historical average number of presentations is $44 \%$ of those received, which would be 83 for 2022. 5 -year historical average presentation is for $60 \%$ of amount requested. This would mean approximately $\$ 85,484,239$ for 2022.

Time
Sample time slot and number of presentation scenarios:

| Time Slot <br> (minutes) | \# Presentations | Total <br> Hours <br> (rounded) | \# of 8 hour <br> Days <br> (rounded) | \# of 6 <br> hour Days <br> (rounded) | \# of 4 <br> hour Days <br> (rounded) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 110 | 28 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 15 | 100 | 25 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| 15 | 90 | 23 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| 15 | 80 | 20 | 3 | 3 | 5 |
| 15 | 60 | 15 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
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## Member Selection Break Points

| Score <br> \# member selected /\# <br> members w/o col * <br> 100, rounded) | Number of <br> Proposals at <br> that Score | Number of <br> Proposals at or <br> Above Score | Total \$ for <br> Proposals at Score | Total \$ of Proposals <br> at or Above Score |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 47 | 6 | 69 | $\$ 3,353,000$ | $\$ 80,122,000$ |
| 44 | 1 | 70 | $\$ 286,000$ | $\$ 80,408,000$ |
| 41 | 12 | 82 | $\$ 10,026,000$ | $\$ 90,434,000$ |
| 38 | 1 | 83 | $\$ 170,000$ | $\$ 90,604,000$ |
| 35 | 15 | 98 | $\$ 15,573,000$ | $\$ 106,177,000$ |
| 29 | 12 | 110 | $\$ 6,986,000$ | $\$ 113,163,000$ |
| 25 | 1 | 111 | $\$ 116,000$ | $\$ 113,279,000$ |

## Suggestion

- $\quad$ ~80 presentations (breakpoint of $X$ score)
- 15 minute presentations (5 present, 10 Q\&A; same as last year)
- No PowerPoints (in order to cut down on transition time; same as last year)
- $5 \times 4$-hour-long days of presentations
- Additional days/hours for business


## Materials to aid in selection

- "Overall hi-low member evaluations for selecting presentations," an aggregated list of members' top 75 selections, ranked in order from high (selected by the most number of members) to low (selected by the least number of members) (Emailed to members \& posted to the website during meeting)


## Proposed process

1. Email/Post the "Overall hi-low member evaluations for selecting presentations" handout
2. Break for at least 30 minutes to allow all members to thoroughly review the handout
3. Select the nearest breakpoint that fits \# of presentations members generally want to hear
4. Decide if members may nominate 1 additional proposal from the next breakpoint down for consideration (i.e. 17 additional selections total).
5. Confirm 15-minute long presentations
6. Finalize presentation selections with a vote
